

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/797,147	03/10/2004	Gary Peter Moscaluk	CYP-0403	4329	
25007	7590 08/30/2005		EXAMINER		
	CE OF DALE B. HAL	NGUYEN, HIEP			
	POINTE COURT, SUITI SPRINGS, CO 80906		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
000014120	,,		2816		
			DATE MAILED: 08/30/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief					

Application No.	Applicant(s)	H
10/797,147	MOSCALUK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Hiep Nguyen	2816	

Before the Filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Hiep Nguyen	2816					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 12 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com- of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be ANDALDADADADADADADADADADADADADADADADADAD	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS	had a final a the state of filter and the	£					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO		because				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(570) 600				
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
 Applicants reply has overcome the following rejection(s Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		rill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: <u>5,6,19 and 20</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 7-18</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N id sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary				
P. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control	on of the status of the claims after ϵ	entry is below or attac	ched.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:				
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
13.	N	Ma					
	V	THANTIAM					

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Application No.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments are not pursuasive. Figure 8 of Fukushi shows that OUTX is the output of circuit 3, not the output of transmission gate (N7, P7). The reference generating circuit (5) is coupled to the cross-coupled latch through second transmission gate (N6,P6) via elements (S3, C3).